## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIVISION, DISTRICT OF UTAH

HUSSAIN KAMEL ALABESI, :

Plaintiff, :

REPORT & RECOMMENDATION

VS.

JUDGE DALE KIMBALL

Civil No. 2:11-cv-0501

UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND

IMMIGRATION SERVICES,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE BROOKE C.

**WELLS** 

Defendant.

Before the court is defendant United States Citizenship and Immigration
Services' ("USCIS") unopposed "Motion To Dismiss For Mootness". On June 2, 2011,
plaintiff Hussain Kamel Alabesi filed a civil rights complaint against defendant alleging
wrongful "witholding [sic] a decision of citizenship." In his complaint, Mr. Alabesi
alleges that defendant failed to make a "final decision" as to his citizenship causing him
stress and ultimately preventing him from seeking other employment.

In response, USCIS filed its pending motion requesting dismissal of plaintiff's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Document Number 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Document Number 1; "Civil Rights Complaint" at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>ld. at 5 and 6.

complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to Rule 12(b)(1).<sup>4</sup> USCIS indicates that it has approved plaintiff's application and N-400 form and that as of October 12, 2011, Mr. Alabesi is a United States citizen.<sup>5</sup>

Given that defendant has made a "final decision" regarding plaintiff's citizenship and that Mr. Alabesi is now a United States citizen, plaintiff's claim for withholding a decision of citizenship is moot. Absent an actual case or controversy, the case must be dismissed.<sup>6</sup> Accordingly, the court hereby recommends to the District Court that defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction be granted as the matter before the Court is moot.<sup>7</sup>

Copies of the foregoing report and recommendation are being mailed to all parties who are hereby notified of their right to object. The parties must file a specific written objection within fourteen days (14) days after being served with a copy.<sup>8</sup> Failure to object may constitute a waiver thereof.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Document Number 14; "Defendant's Motion To Dismiss For Mootness" at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>U.S. CONST. ART. III, § 2; see also DeFunis v. Odegaard 416 U.S. 312 (1974).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2).

DATED this 27 day of December, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

Brooke C. Wells

United States Magistrate Judge